

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,172	05/05/2004	Heinz Willebrand	81699 7495		
22242	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			PHAN, HANH		
	LA SALLE STREET		ADTINUT	04.050.500.000	
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406		2638		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/200	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/840,1	72	WILLEBRAND ET	ĀL.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
+	Hanh Ph		2638				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this constitution of the provided period for reply is specified above, the maximusing of the provided period for the provided period period for the provided period for the provided period for the provided period period for the provided period period for the provided period	UNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the stam statutory period will apply and verply will, by statute, cause the apths after the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. :ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 05 May 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .							
3)☐ Since this application is in condit							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to solve the day of the day o	is/are withdrawn from co						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objecte							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some color None of 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office a	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be ies of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/11/2005. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2638

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 05/11/2005.

Double Patenting

2. nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,763,195 (Willebrand et al). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations recited in claims 1-45 of the instant application are encompassed by claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,763,195 (Willebrand et al).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 25, 35 and 41, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses a method for use in free-space communications, comprising the steps of:

transmitting data in an active mode in an optical signal through a free-space optical path of a communication link extending across a terrestrial free-space region;

Art Unit: 2638

detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region; and automatically switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link;

wherein an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode (see claims 1-8 and 34-38 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16-21, 26-31, 36-38, 42 and 43, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses further comprising the step of: communicating control and status information in the RF signal through the free-space RF path of the communication link (see claims 1-6 and 34-38 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Regarding claims 3, 10, 22 and 32, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses wherein the step of detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region comprises the step of: sensing a characteristic of a received optical signal (see claims 4-8 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a power level of the received optical signal (see claims 6 and 7 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a transmissive capability of the received optical signal (see claim 8 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 6, 13, 23, 33, 39 and 44, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses further comprising the step of: using the characteristic of the received optical signal to calculate a power adjustment for a station at an opposite end of the communication link (see claims 12 and 13 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

Regarding claims 7, 14, 24, 34, 40 and 45, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,763,195) discloses wherein the degradation of the optical signal is due to atmospheric conditions in the terrestrial free-space region (see claims 1-8 of US Patent No. 6,763,195).

4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,889,009 (Willebrand). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations recited in claims 1-45 of the instant application are encompassed by claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,889,009 (Willebrand).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 25, 35 and 41, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses a method for use in free-space communications, comprising the steps of:

transmitting data in an active mode in an optical signal through a free-space optical path of a communication link extending across a terrestrial free-space region; detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region; and automatically switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode

Art Unit: 2638

includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link;

wherein an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16-21, 26-31, 36-38, 42 and 43, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses further comprising the step of: communicating control and status information in the RF signal through the free-space RF path of the communication link (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

Regarding claims 3, 10, 22 and 32, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses wherein the step of detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region comprises the step of: sensing a characteristic of a received optical signal (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a power level of the received optical signal (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a transmissive capability of the received optical signal (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

Regarding claims 6, 13, 23, 33, 39 and 44, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses further comprising the step of: using the characteristic of the received optical signal to calculate a power adjustment for a station at an opposite end of the communication link (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

of the communication link;

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 7, 14, 24, 34, 40 and 45, Willebrand (US Patent No. 6,889,009) discloses wherein the degradation of the optical signal is due to atmospheric conditions in the terrestrial free-space region (see claims 1-7 of US Patent No. 6,889,009).

5. Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/646,994 (Willebrand et al). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations recited in claims 1-45 of the instant application are encompassed by claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/646,994 (Willebrand et al).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 25, 35 and 41, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses a method for use in free-space communications, comprising the steps of:

transmitting data in an active mode in an optical signal through a free-space optical path of a communication link extending across a terrestrial free-space region; detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region; and automatically switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path

Art Unit: 2638

wherein an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16-21, 26-31, 36-38, 42 and 43, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses further comprising the step of: communicating control and status information in the RF signal through the free-space RF path of the communication link (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Regarding claims 3, 10, 22 and 32, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses wherein the step of detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region comprises the step of: sensing a characteristic of a received optical signal (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a power level of the received optical signal (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a transmissive capability of the received optical signal (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Regarding claims 6, 13, 23, 33, 39 and 44, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses further comprising the step of: using the characteristic of the received optical signal to calculate a power adjustment for a station at an opposite end of the communication link (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 7, 14, 24, 34, 40 and 45, Willebrand (copending Application No. 10/646,994) discloses wherein the degradation of the optical signal is due to atmospheric conditions in the terrestrial free-space region (see claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/646,994).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-22, 24-32, 34-38, 40-43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vollerts (DE 4433896 cited by applicant) in view of Sato (US Patent No. 4,904,993 cited by applicant) and further in view of Mullaly et al (US Patent No. 6,812,881 cited by applicant).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 25, 35 and 41, referring to Figure 1, Vollert discloses a method for use in free-space communications, comprising the steps of:

transmitting data in an active mode in an optical signal through a free-space optical path (i.e., free space optical path IUS, and see abstract) of a communication link extending across a terrestrial free-space region;

Art Unit: 2638

detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region (Vollert teaches that during exchange of information, the quality of the infrared transmission is verified continuously and the result determines whether the radio or the infrared link is utilized, see abstract section of Vollert).

Vollert differs from claims 1, 8, 15, 25 and 41 in that he does not specifically teach switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link and wherein an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode. However, Sato in US Patent No. 4,904,993 teaches switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 25-40) and Mullaly in US Patent No. 6,812,881 teaches an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode (see Figs. 2b and 2c, col. 9, lines 17-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention to incorporate the switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link and an RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode as taught by Sato and Mullaly in the system of Vollert. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Sato suggests in

Art Unit: 2638

column 2, lines 25-40 that using such the switching from the active mode to a standby mode upon optical beam degradation in the terrestrial free-space region, wherein the standby mode includes transmitting data in a radio frequency (RF) signal through a free-space RF path of the communication link and Mullaly suggests in column 9, lines 17-64 that using such the RF transceiver for generating the RF signal is active during the active mode have advantage of allowing providing reliable communications in the weather conditions and providing a dual-mode (both optical and RF transmission).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16-21, 26-31, 36-38, 42 and 43, the combination of Vollert, Sato and Mullaly teaches further comprising the step of: communicating control and status information in the RF signal through the free-space RF path of the communication link (see Figs. 7b and 8b of Mullaly and col. 12, lines 25-61).

Regarding claims 3, 10, 22 and 32, the combination of Vollert, Sato and Mullaly teaches wherein the step of detecting degradation of the optical signal in the terrestrial free-space region comprises the step of: sensing a characteristic of a received optical signal (see abstract of Vollert and Fig. 1 of Vollert).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the combination of Vollert, Sato and Mullaly teaches wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a power level of the received optical signal (Fig. 1 of Vollert).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of Vollert, Sato and Mullaly teaches wherein the characteristic of the received optical signal comprises a transmissive capability of the received optical signal (Fig. 1 of Vollert).

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 7, 14, 24, 34, 40 and 45, the combination of Vollert, Sato and Mullaly teaches wherein the degradation of the optical signal is due to atmospheric conditions in the terrestrial free-space region (Fig. 1 of Vollert).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6, 13, 23, 33, 39 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcome the double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/840,172

Art Unit: 2638

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye, can be reached on (571)272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

HANH PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER